

(1) Reason why decision is being called in:

1. 3.13 states *'the feedback from the consultation has been conscientiously considered to help inform the recommendation for change'* whereas 3.30 says that the primary driver of the evaluation is the financial, savings that can be achieved. Are these two statements not at odds with one another?
2. Table 9 says *"there is no correlation between charging for garden waste and/or collecting refuse fortnightly with significant increases in fly tipping"* but then goes on to say that additional recycling and enforcement resources will be employed during the mobilisation stage to ensure the service rolls out smoothly and no unforeseen impacts occur such as increased fly tipping! As it then goes on to specifically mention the two new jobs and new street cleaning operatives what are these additional resources?
3. The report fails to talk about missed collections because as it stands you need to report them within one working day. If you are away or cannot get through to the call centre etc. are you then expected to wait another two weeks before collection? There is no mention of giving a slightly longer time period for people to get in contact.
4. 3.59 - £1.06 million for dedicated mobilisation team - the report does not set out whether new vehicles are needed for weekly food waste collection?
5. 6.1.6 vehicle and staffing costs are calculated from the reduced number of vehicles rounds but how is weekly food waste fitting into this?
6. 6.1.16 - it talks about reducing existing agency staff. It does not go into detail on the number of agency staff that will leave.
7. The result of the consultation was that 66% of respondents wanted to keep the current service yet the option taken forward was the least supported out of all them.
8. The decision does not state how much Eunomia was paid for its work on this decision?
9. Redbridge Council moved to a paid for garden waste collection service and had to reverse its decision. Eunomia states that it has high confidence levels for the take up of this, but that was not the case in Redbridge and it is a very similar borough to Enfield. Why was there not any information on this and how we will not have the same the problems?
10. It states in 3.36 *The Mayor has regulatory powers to ensure that the statutory waste authorities' plans, services and contracts are in general conformity with Mayoral waste strategies and policies. The Mayor has the power to direct a waste collection authority where their waste activities are considered detrimental to the implementation of the municipal waste provisions of the London Environment Strategy. The Mayor also has a role to play in facilitating and supporting good practice.* Why then did the Cabinet Member put 3 options that did not comply with the Mayor's Environment Strategy on the table as part of the consultation?